

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

DANIELLE MICHELLE
VAUGHNS,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF TEXAS, et al.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Civil Action No. 3:23-CV-1893-X-BN


**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND
RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE
JUDGE**

The United States Magistrate Judge made findings, conclusions, and a recommendation in this case. (Doc. 7). No objections were filed. Despite the attempt, mailing notice of the findings, conclusions, and recommendation was unsuccessful.¹

Because Plaintiff has not received notice of the findings, conclusions, and recommendation and therefore could not object, the District Court reviewed *de novo* the proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation, as though objection had been made. Finding no error, the Court **ACCEPTS** the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 12th day of January, 2024.

¹ Doc. 10.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Brantley Starr", is positioned above a horizontal line.

BRANTLEY STARR
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE